REFERENCES

FLETCHER, T. B. (1914): Some South Indian Insects and other animals of importance, pp. 429, Govt. Press, Madras.

MITRA, P. K. (1944): A note on Heliothis armigera Hub. as a pest of pea

(Pisum sativum) Curr. Sci., 13(12:) 312-313.

SINGH, S. & SOHI, G. S. (1957): A list of insect pests of economic importance in the Punjab. *Govt. Agric. Coll. Mag.* 4(3): 19-34.

22. GREGARIOUSNESS AND MIMICRY DURING THE COCOON STAGE BY THE BUTTERFLY EUREMA HECABE (L.)

I would refer to B. K. Tikader's note under the above heading (1968, J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc., 65 (1): 242).

E. hecabe does not spin a cocoon and this term should be replaced by 'pupa' wherever it occurs.

Apart from the Hesperiidae, or Grypocera, the only butterfly larvae that spin cocoons are the genus *Parnassius* and the Satyrid *Eumenis semele* L.

Mombasa, July 24, 1968. D. G. SEVASTOPULO, F. R. E. S.

23. INSECTS ATTRACTED TO LIGHT IN THE DANGS, SOUTH GUJARAT

While going through the specimens collected at light in the Dangs and reported in this *Journal* 61 (2): 271 and 64 (2): 256 and now in the collections of the Society, it was noticed that through oversight three species of butterflies, collected in August-Sept, 1961 were not included in the lists published. They are:

- 1. Anaphaeis aurota Fab. (Pieridae)
- 2. Colotis calais Cr. (Pieridae) and
- 3. Heliophorus tamu tamu Koll (Lycaenidae).

This note is of special interest because while the first two species are common in this area the third *Heliophorus*, is being noted for the first time so far south. All the species of *Heliophorus* are found only in the Himalayas or at the foot of that range. Finding one of the species so far south is of great interest.

BOMBAY NATURAL HISTORY SOCIETY, HORNBILL HOUSE, SHAHID BHAGAT SINGH ROAD, BOMBAY-1, September 19, 1968.

N. T. NADKERNY E. M. SHULL